## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,654	03/29/2004	Sciji Kobayashi	251188US-6 CONT	9589
22850 7590 06/05/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	HUBER, PAUL W		PAUL W
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/810,654	KOBAYASHI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	!	Paul Huber	2627			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Fe	ebruary 2007.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)⊠ 6)□ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>22-51</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>48-51</u> is/are withdraw Claim(s) <u>22-47</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/555,658.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Art Unit: 2627

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and the Species I of Figure 1, corresponding to claims 22-31, filed February 20, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that: 1) a search and examination of the entire application would not place a serious burden on the Examiner, and; 2) the 'Election of Species' requirement does not comply with MPEP Section 1893.03(d).

Regarding the applicant's first traversal argument, the application contains two groups of the claimed invention which is considered burdensome for examination purposes because each of the groups do not relate to a single general concept and they lack the same or corresponding special technical features as described in the restriction requirement mailed December 18, 2006. If the examiner were to examine each and every patentably distinct species in each and every application filed, the examination process would be considerably lengthened and pose and undue burden to the examiner. Accordingly, the restriction requirement is deemed proper for at least this reason and is maintained.

Regarding the applicant's second traversal argument, claims 22-31, deemed generic with regard to elected Group I claims 22-47, are found allowable. Therefore, claims 22-47 are allowable and applicant's second traversal argument is moot.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Oshima et al. discloses a disk having identification marks.

Claims 22-47 are allowed

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters: the presence of claims 48-51 which are claims non-elected with traverse.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Art Unit: 2627

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Paul Huber at telephone number 571-272-7588.

Paul W. Huber Primary Examiner Art Unit 2627

pwh May 29, 2007